

Some Conditions for P-Solubility of Finite Groups

Jehad Jumah Jaraden and Awni Faez Al-Dababseh

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Al-Hussein Bin Talal University, Ma'an, Jordan

Abstract: A subgroup H of a group G is c -subnormal in G if G has a subnormal subgroup T such that $HT=G$ and $T \cap H \subseteq HG$.^[1] Using this concept, in Jaraden obtain^[1] some new conditions for solubility of a finite group are given. Here we obtain local versions of these results.

Key words: Finite group, p -soluble group, maximal subgroup, normal index, c -subnormal subgroup

INTRODUCTION

All groups that we consider are finite. Let M be a maximal subgroup of a group G . Then normal index $|G: M|_n$ of M in G is equal to $|H/K|$ where H/K is a chief factor of G such that $K \subseteq M$ and $H \not\subseteq M$ (we note that every two chief factors with such property are isomorphic). This concept was introduced by Deskins^[2] where the following nice result was proved: A group G is soluble if and only if for every its maximal subgroup M it is true that $|G: M| = |G: M|_n$. Local versions of this result were obtained by many researchers^[3-6]. In Wang^[7], analyzing the concept of normal index, introduced the following important concept: A subgroup H of a group G is said to be c -normal if there exists a normal subgroup T of G such that $HT = G$ and $T \cap H \subseteq HG$ (where HG is the intersection of all G -conjugates of H , i.e., the unique largest normal subgroup of G contained in H). Using this concept Wang obtained^[7] several new interesting results on soluble and supersoluble groups. The concept of c -normal subgroup was used and analyzed. In particular, by Jaraden^[1] the following its generalization was considered.

Definition: A subgroup H of a group G is said to be c -subnormal in G if there exists a subnormal subgroup T such that $HT = G$ and $T \cap H \subseteq HG$.

Using this concept, by Jaraden^[1] obtained some new conditions for solubility of a group were obtained. Here we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1: A group G is p -soluble if and only if every maximal subgroup M with $p \nmid |G: M|_n$ is c -subnormal in G .

Theorem 2: A group G is p -soluble if and only if it has a p -soluble maximal subgroup M such that either $p \mid |G: M|_n$ or M is c -subnormal in G .

PRELIMINARIES

Notation is standard^[8-10].

We shall need the following well known facts about subnormal subgroups.

Lemma 1: Let G be a group, H be a subgroup of G . Then the following statements hold:

- If H is subnormal in G and $M \leq G$, then $H \cap M$ is subnormal in M .
- If $K \triangleleft G$ and H is subnormal in G , then HK/K is subnormal in G/K .

Lemma 2: Let L be a minimal normal subgroup of a group G and T be a subnormal subgroup of G . Then $L \subseteq NG(T)$.

The following useful lemma was proved by Beidleman and Spencer^[4].

Lemma 3: Let M be a maximal in G subgroup, N/G and N_M . Then $|G: M|_n = |G/N: M/N|_n$.

Lemma 4: (Frattini argument). Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G and N_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of N . Then $G = NNG(N_p)$.

Recall that a primitive group is a group G such that for some maximal subgroup U of G , $UG=1$.

A primitive group is of one of the following types (see [8; A,(15.2)]):

- $Soc(G)$, the socle of G is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G , complemented by U .
- $Soc(G)$ is a non-abelian minimal normal subgroup of G .
- $Soc(G)$ is the direct product of the two minimal normal subgroups of G which are both non-abelian and complemented by U .

Lemma 5: Let M be a maximal subgroup of G with $MG = 1$, where G is a primitive group of type 2^[11]. Let $R = \text{Soc}(G)$ be the socle of G . If $R \setminus M = 1$, then M is a primitive group of type 2 and the simple component of R is isomorphic to a section of a simple component of $\text{Soc}(M)$.

We shall also need the following observations on c -subnormal subgroups.

Lemma 6: Let G be a group and H a subgroup of $G^{[1]}$. Then the following statements are true:

- If H is c -subnormal in G and $H \leq K \leq G$, then H is c -subnormal in K ;
- Let K/G and $K \leq H$. Then H is c -subnormal in G if and only if H/K is c -subnormal in G/K .
- If K/G and H is c -subnormal in G , then HK/K is c -subnormal in G/K .

PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND 2

Proof of Theorem 1: First assume that G is a p -soluble group. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G . Assume that $p \mid |G: M|$. Let H/MG be a chief factor of G . Then $p \mid |H/MG|$ and so H/MG is an abelian p -group. Hence $H \cap M = MG$. Thus M is c -subnormal in G .

Now assume that every maximal subgroup M of G with $p \mid |G: M|$ is c -subnormal in G . We shall show that G is p -soluble. Assume that it is false and let G be a counterexample with minimal order. Then

- $p \mid |G|$ (it is evident)
- G is not simple. Indeed, assume that G is simple and let M be a maximal in G subgroup. Then $p \mid |G: M|$ and so by hypothesis M is c -subnormal in G . Let T be a subnormal subgroup of G such that $MT = G$ and $T \cap M \subseteq MG = 1$. Then $|T| = |G: M| = 1$, a contradiction. Hence G is not simple.
- If R be a minimal normal subgroup of G , then $R = \text{Soc}(G)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , R is not abelian and $p \mid |R|$.

Let H be a non-identity normal subgroup of G . And let M/H be a maximal subgroup of G/H . Assume $p \mid |G/H: M/H|$. Then in view of Lemma 3, $p \mid |G: M|$ and so by hypothesis M is c -subnormal in G . Now using Lemma 6, we see that M/H is c -subnormal in G/H . Thus the hypothesis holds for G/H . But $|G/H| < |G|$ and so by the choice of G we conclude that G/H is p -soluble. Since the class of all p -soluble groups

is a formation we see that $R = \text{Soc}(G)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . It is clear also that $p \mid |R|$ and that R is not abelian.

- G has a maximal subgroup M such that $R \not\subseteq M$ and $p \mid |G: M|$.
- Indeed, let R_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of R , P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G such that $R_p \subseteq P$. Let $N = N_G(R_p)$ be the normalizer of R_p in G . Then since $R_p = R \cap P < P$, $P \subseteq N$. Besides since R is not abelian, we have $N \neq G$. Now let us choose a maximal subgroup M of G such that $N \subseteq M$. Then of course $p \mid |G: M|$. We note also that $R \not\subseteq M$. Indeed, by Frattini argument, $G = RN$. But $N \subseteq M$ and so $R \not\subseteq M$.
- M has a subnormal complement T in G .

Since by (4) $R \not\subseteq M$, we have $MG = 1$ and so $p \mid |R| = |G: M|$. Hence by hypothesis M is c -subnormal in G . Therefore G has a subnormal subgroup T such that $TM = G$ and $T \cap M \subseteq MG = 1$.

- Final contradiction.

Let L be a minimal subnormal subgroup of G contained in T . Let L^G be the normal closure of L in G . Then $L^G \neq 1$ and so $R \subseteq L^G$. Assume that $L \not\subseteq R$. Then by Lemma 1,

$L \cap R$ is a subnormal subgroup of G and $1 \subseteq L \cap R \subseteq L$. Hence $L \cap R = 1$, since L is a minimal subnormal subgroup of G . By Lemma 2, $R \subseteq N_G(L)$. Hence $\langle L, R \rangle = LR = L \times R$. But then $L \subseteq C_G(R)$. Since $C_G(R) < G$ and $R \subseteq C_G(R)$. Then R is an abelian group. This contradiction shows that $L \subseteq R$. Since R is a minimal normal subgroup of G ,

$R = A_1 \times \dots \times A_t$, where $A_1 \cong A_2 \cong \dots \cong A_t \cong A$ and A is a non-abelian simple group. Hence $L \cong A$. Clearly p divides the order $|A|$ of the group A . Hence p divides the order $|L|$ of the group L . By Lagrange's theorem the order $|L|$ of the group L divides the order $|T|$ of the group T . Hence the prime p divides $|T|$. We have known that

$G = TM$ and $T \cap M = 1$. Hence $|G| = |T||M| = |G: M||M|$ and so $|T| = |G: M|$. But the prime p does not divide the index $|G: M|$ of M in G . Hence p does not divide $|T|$. This contradiction shows that G is a p -soluble group^[4].

The theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2: In view of Theorem 1 we have only to prove the sufficiency. Assume that it is false and let G be a counterexample with minimal order. Then

- G/N is p -soluble for every non-identity normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$.

Indeed, if $N \triangleleft M$, then $G/N = MN/N \approx M/N \triangleleft M$ is p -soluble. Let $N \subseteq M$. Then M/N is a p -soluble maximal subgroup of G/N such that either M/N is c -subnormal in G or $p \mid |G/N: M/N|n = |G: M|n$. Hence the hypothesis holds for G/N and so G/N is p -soluble by the choice of G since $|G/N| < |G|$.

- G has unique minimal normal subgroup H which is non-abelian and $p \mid |H|$ (it directly follows from (1)).
- G has a subnormal subgroup T such that $G = TM$ and $T \triangleleft M = 1$.

Since by hypothesis M is p -soluble, then in view of (2) $H \not\subseteq M$. Now it is clear that $|H| = |G: M|n$ and so by (2), $p \mid |G: M|n$. Hence by hypothesis M is c -subnormal in G . Let T be a subnormal in G subgroup such that $TM = G$ and $T \triangleleft M \subseteq MG$. But $H \subseteq M$ and so $MG = 1$. Hence $T \triangleleft M = 1$. (4) If

$$1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = T = T_0 \leq T_1 \leq \dots \leq T_m = G \quad (1)$$

is a composition series of G , then every factor $T_i/T_{i-1}, \dots, T_m/T_{m-1}$ is either a group of order p or a p' -group.

It is clear $|G: T| = |T_1/T_0||T_2/T_1| \dots |T_m/T_{m-1}|$. Now we consider the following series

$$1 = T_0 \triangleleft M \leq T_1 \triangleleft M \leq \dots \leq T_{m-1} \triangleleft M \leq T_m \triangleleft M = M \quad (2)$$

Evidently $T_{i-1} \triangleleft M \triangleleft T_i \triangleleft M$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Note also that

$$\frac{|(T_i \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)|}{|(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-2} \triangleleft M)|} \dots \frac{|(T_m \triangleleft M)/(T_{m-1} \triangleleft M)|}{|(T_{m-1} \triangleleft M)/(T_{m-2} \triangleleft M)|} = |M| = |G: T| = |T_1/T_0||T_2/T_1| \dots |T_m/T_{m-1}|.$$

Since

$$\frac{|(T_i \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)|}{|(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-2} \triangleleft M)|} = \frac{|(T_i \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)|}{|(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-2} \triangleleft M)|} \approx \frac{|(T_i \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M)|}{|T_i/T_{i-1}|} \leq |T_i/T_{i-1}|$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, a so $(T_i \triangleleft M)/(T_{i-1} \triangleleft M) \approx T_i/T_{i-1}$ is a simple group for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Thus series (2) is a composition series of the group M . By hypothesis M is p -soluble. Hence every factor of the

series (2) is either a group of order p or a p_0 -group and so every factor $T_1/T_0, T_2/T_1, \dots, T_m/T_{m-1}$ is too.

$H \triangleleft M = 1$.

Let $H = A_1 \times \dots \times A_t$ where $A_1 \approx \dots \approx A_t \approx A$ is a non-abelian simple group. Let us consider the following composition series of G :

$$1 \leq A_1 \leq A_1 A_2 \leq \dots \leq A_1 A_2 \dots A_{t-1} \leq H = K_0 \leq K_1 \leq \dots \leq K_r = G \quad (3)$$

By Jordan-Holder Theorem [8; I,11.5] there exist indices i_1, i_2, \dots , it such that

$$A_1 \approx H_{i_1}/H_{i_1-1}, A_1 A_2/A_1 \approx H_{i_2}/H_{i_2-1}, \dots, H/A_1 \dots A_{t-1} \approx H_{i_t}/H_{i_t-1}. \text{ Hence } |H| \leq |T| = |G: M|. \text{ But } |G: M| = |H: H \triangleleft M| \text{ and so } H \triangleleft M = 1.$$

Final contradiction.

Let A be a composition factor of H . In view of (2), The group G is primitive of type 2 and so by (5) and Lemma 5, A is isomorphic to some section D/L where $D \leq \text{Soc}(M)$. But by hypothesis M is p -soluble and so A is p -soluble. Then H is a p -soluble group and therefore H is a p -group, contrary to (2). The theorem is proved.

SOME APPLICATIONS

Theorems 1: and 2 have many corollaries. The most important of them we consider in this section.

Corollary 1: A group G is soluble if every its maximal subgroup M is c -subnormal in $G^{[1]}$.

Corollary 2: A group G is soluble if it has a soluble maximal subgroup M which is c -subnormal in $G^{[12]}$.

Corollary 3: A group G is soluble if every its maximal subgroup M is c -normal in $G^{[7]}$.

Corollary 4: A group G is soluble if it has a soluble maximal subgroup M which is c -normal in $G^{[7]}$.

It was proved that for a maximal subgroup M of a group G the following conditions are equivalent^[7]:

- M is c -normal in G ;
- $|G: M| = |G: M|n$.

Thus one can obtain from Theorem 1,2 the following known results.

Corollary 5: (W.E. Deskins^[2]). A group G is soluble if for every its maximal subgroup M we have $|G: M| = |G: M|_n$.

Corollary 6: (A.Ballester-Bolinches^[5]). A group G is p -soluble if for every its maximal subgroup M we have either $p \mid |G: M|_n$ or $|G: M| = |G: M|_n$.

REFERENCES

1. Jaraden Jehad, 2000. Some conditions for solubility. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 42: 1-5.
2. Deskins, W.E., 1959. On maximal subgroups. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 1: 100-104.
3. Skiba, A.N., 1978. Strongly saturated formations of finite groups. *Dokl. AN BSSR*, 12: 431-434.
4. Beidleman, J.C. and A.E. Spenser, 1972. The normal index of maximal subgroups of finite groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 16: 95-101.
5. Ballester-Bolinches, A., 1990. On the normal index of maximal subgroups in finite groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 64: 113-118.
6. Mukherjee, N.P. and P. Bhattacharya, 1988. The normal index of a finite group. *Pacific J. Math.*, 132: 141-149.
7. Wang, Y., 1996. C-Normality of groups and its properties. *J. Algebra*, 180: 954-965.
8. Doerk, K. and T. Hawkes, 1992. Finite soluble groups. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York.
9. Wenbin Guo, 2000. The theory of classes of groups. Science Press-Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing, New York, Dordrecht, Boston, London.
10. Huppert, B., 1967. Endliche gruppen I, Springer-Verlag. Heidelberg/New York.
11. Laute, J., 1985. Eine Note über nichtabelsche Hauptfaktoren und maximale Untergruppen einer endlichen Gruppe, *Comm. Algebra*, 13: 2025-2036, 2025-2036.
12. Zhu, L., W. Guo and K.P. Shum, Weakly C-normal subgroups of finite groups and their properties. To appear in *Communications in Algebra*.